arXiv:hep-th/0305212v2 29 May 2003

Soliton Solutions on Noncommutative Orbifold T^2/Z_4

Hui Deng [*] Bo-Yu Hou [†] Kang-Jie Shi [‡] Zhan-Ying Yang [§] Rui-Hong Yue [¶]

Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University,
Xi'an, 710069, P. R. China

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, we explicitly construct a series of projectors on integral noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_4 by extended GHS constrution. They include integration of two arbitary functions with Z_4 symmetry. Our expression possess manifest Z_4 symmetry. It is proved that the expression include all projectors with minimal trace and in their standard expansions, the eigen value functions of coefficient operators are continuous with respect to the arguments k and q. Based on the integral expression, we alternately show the derivative expression in terms of the similar kernal to the integral one. Since projectors correspond to soliton solutions of the field theory on the noncommutative orbifold, we thus present a series of corresponding solitons.

Keywords: Soliton, Projection operators, Noncommutative orbifold.

1 Introduction

String theory is a very promising candidate for an unified description of the fundamental interactions, including quantum gravity. It may provide a conceptual framework to resolve the clash between two of the greatest achievements of 20th century physics: general relativity and quantum mechanics. Noncommutative geometry is originally an interesting topic in mathematics [1, 2, 3], In the past few years, it has been shown that some noncommutative gauge theories can be embedded in string theories [4, 5, 6]and noncommutative geometry can also be applied to condensed matter physics. The currents and density of a system of electrons in a strong magnetic field may be described by a noncommutative quantum field theory [7,8,9]. The connection between a finite quantum Hall system and a noncommutative Chern-Simon Matrix model first proposed by [8] was further elaborated in papers [10, 11]. Many papers are concentrated on the research for the related questions about the quantum hall effect [12-18]. Since the noncommutative space resemble a quantum phase space, it exhibits an interesting spacetime uncertainty relation, which cause a UV/IR mixing [19, 20] and a teleological

[*]Email:hdeng@phy.nwu.edu.cn
[†]Email:byhou@phy.nwu.edu.cn
[‡]Email:kjshi@phy.nwu.edu.cn
[§]Email:yzy@phy.nwu.edu.cn
[¶]Email:yue@phy.nwu.edu.cn

behavior. Noncommutative field theories can be regarded as highly constrained deformation of local field theory. Thus it may help us to understand non-locality at short distances in quantum gravity.

Solitons in various noncommutative theories have played a central role in understanding the physics of noncommutative theories and certain situations of string theories. The quantum Hall effect practically provides a good illustration of the combination of the three theories [13, 17, 18, 21]. The existence and form of these classical solutions are fairly independent of the details of the theory, making them useful to probe the string behavior. In fact these solitons are the (lower-dimensional) D-branes of string theory manifested in a field theory limit while still capturing many string features.

Starting from the celebrated paper of Gopakumar, Minwalla and Strominger [22], there are many works to study soliton solutions of noncommutative field theory and integrable systems in the background of noncommutative spaces [23-30]. Although Derrick's theorem forbids solitons in ordinary 2+1 dimensional scalar field theory [31], solitons in noncommutative scalar field theory on the plane were constructed in terms of projection operators in [22]. It was soon realized that noncommutative solitons represent D-branes in string field theory with a background B field, and many of Sen's conjectures [32, 33] regarding tachyon condensation in string field theory have been beautifully confirmed using properties of noncommutative solitons. Gopakumar, Minwalla and Strominger makde an important finding that in a noncommutative space, a projector may correspond to a soliton in the field theory [22], which proves the significance of the study of projection operators in various noncommutative space. Reiffel [34] constructed the complete set of projection operators on the noncommutative torus T^2. On the basis Boca studied the projection operators on noncommutative orbifold [35] obtaining many important results and showed the well-known example of projection operator for T^2/Z_4 in terms of the elliptic function. Soliton solutions in noncommutative gauge theory were introduced by Polychronakos in [23]. Martinec and Moore in their important article deeply studied soliton solutions namely projectors on a wide variety of orbifolds, and the relation between physics and mathematics in this area [27]. Gopakumar, Headrick and Spradlin have shown a rather apparent method to construct the multi-soliton solution on noncommutative integral torus with generic τ[24]. This approach can be generalized to construct the projection operators on the integral noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N [30].

In this paper, in the case of integral noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_4 generated by u_1 and u_2 with

$$u_1 u_2 = u_2 u_1 e^{2\pi i/A}, \quad A = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \tag{1}$$

we generalize the GHS construction, presenting the explicit symmetric form of a series of projectors with manifest Z_4 symmetry. It includes all the solutions with minimal trace, and in the standard expansions for the projectors (see equation (9))

$$P = \sum_{s,t} u_1^s u_2^t \Psi_{s,t}(u_1^A, u_2^A) \tag{2}$$

where the eigen value function $\Psi_{s,t}(v_1^A, v_2^A)$ is continuous (where v_1^A and v_2^A are eigenvalues of u_1^A and u_2^A). The solutions include two arbitrary complex functions with Z_4 symmetry. The kernels of the integrations are closed analytic functions of u_1 and u_2. In the simplest case, when A is an even number, we reobtain the Boca's classic result [35] and obtain a new result when A is an odd number. Moreover the above construction is also applicable to the integral $T^2/Z_N (N = 3, 6)$ cases.

This paper is organized as following: In Section 2, we introduce operators on the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N. In Section 3, we introduce the $|k, q>$ representation and provide the matrix element relation for the projectors and deduce the relation between the eigen value functions of coefficients and the matrix elements of operators in the $|k, q>$ representation. In Section 4, we study the general projectors with minimal trace when the eigen value functions of coefficients are continuous. In Section 5, we present two kinds of explicit expressions for the projectors with elliptical functions as kernel.

2 Noncommutative Orbifold T^2/Z_N

In this section, we introduce operators on the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N. First we introduce two hermitian operators $\hat{y_1}$ and $\hat{y_2}$, which satisfy the following commutation relation:

$$[\hat{y_1}, \hat{y_2}] = i. \tag{3}$$

The operators made up of $\hat{y_1}$ and $\hat{y_2}$

$$\hat{O} = \sum_{m,n} C_{mn} \hat{y_1}^m \hat{y_2}^n \tag{4}$$

form the noncommutative plane R^2. All operators on R^2 which commute with U_1 and U_2

$$U_1 = e^{-il\hat{y_2}}, \qquad U_2 = e^{il(\tau_2\hat{y_1} - \tau_1\hat{y_2})}, \tag{5}$$

where l, τ_1, τ_2 are all real numbers and $l, \tau_2 > 0, \tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$, constitute the noncommutative torus T^2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{-1}\hat{y_1}U_1 &= \hat{y_1} + l, \qquad U_2^{-1}\hat{y_1}U_2 = \hat{y_1} + l\tau_1, \\ U_1^{-1}\hat{y_2}U_1 &= \hat{y_2}, \qquad U_2^{-1}\hat{y_2}U_2 = \hat{y_2} + l\tau_2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The operators U_1 and U_2 are two different wrapping operators around the noncommutative torus and their commutation relation is $U_1U_2 = U_2U_1e^{-2\pi i\frac{l^2\tau_2}{2\pi}}$. When $A = \frac{l^2\tau_2}{2\pi}$ is an integer, we call the noncommutative torus integral.Introduce two operators u_1 and u_2:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= e^{-il\hat{y_2}/A}, \qquad u_2 = e^{-il(\tau_2\hat{y_1} - \tau_1\hat{y_2})/A}, \\ u_1u_2 &= u_2u_1e^{2\pi i/A}, \qquad u_1^A = U_1, \quad u_2^A = U_2^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The operators on the noncommutative torus are composed of the Laurant series of u_1 and u_2,

$$\hat{O}_{T^2} = \sum_{m,n} C'_{mn} u_1^m u_2^n \tag{8}$$

where $m, n \in Z$ and $C'_{00}$is called the trace of the operators, Eq.(8) includes all operators on the noncommutative torus T^2, satisfying the relation $U_i^{-1}\hat{O}_{T^2}U_i = \hat{O}_{T^2}$. From (7) we can rewrite the equation(8) as

$$\hat{O}_{T^2} = \sum_{s,t=0}^{A-1} u_1^s u_2^t \Psi_{st}(u_1^A, u_2^A) \tag{9}$$

where Ψ_{st} is Laurant series of the operators $u_1^A$and u_2^A. we call this formula the standard expression for the operator on the noncommutative torus T^2. The trace for the operator is the constant term's coefficient of Ψ_{00}. Nextly we introduce rotation R in noncommutative space R^2

$$R(\theta) = e^{-i\theta\frac{\hat{y_1}^2 + \hat{y_2}^2}{2} + i\frac{\theta}{2}} \tag{10}$$

with

$$R^{-1}\hat{y}_1 R = \cos\theta\hat{y}_1 + \sin\theta\hat{y}_2, \qquad R^{-1}\hat{y}_2 R = \cos\theta\hat{y}_2 - \sin\theta\hat{y}_1. \tag{11}$$

When $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2 = e^{2\pi i/N}$, setting $\theta = 2\pi/N (N \in Z)$. The noncommutative torus T^2 keep invariant under rotation $R_N \equiv R(2\pi/N)$ [35, 27, 30]. Namely $R_N^{-1}\hat{O}_{T^2}R_N$ is still the operators on the noncommutative Torus T^2. Now $U_i' \equiv R_N^{-1}U_iR_N$ can be expressed by monomial of $\{U_i\}$ and their inverses [27].In this case, we call the operators invariant under rotation R_N on the noncommutative torus as

operators on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N. We can also realize these operators in Fock space. Introduce

$$a = \frac{\hat{y}_2 - i\hat{y}_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad a^+ = \frac{\hat{y}_2 + i\hat{y}_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{12}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} [a, a^+] &= 1, & (13)\\ R_N &= e^{-i\theta a^+ a}. & (14) \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we study the projector P on the orbifold T^2/Z_4:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= i, & (15)\\ P^2 &= P, & (16)\\ U_j^{-1} P U_j &= P, \qquad j = 1, 2 & (17)\\ R_4^{-1} P R_4 &= P. & (18) \end{aligned}$$

3 The $|k, q>$ representation, standard form and eigen value function

From the above discussion, we know that the operators U_1 and U_2 commute with each other on the integral torus T^2 when A is an integer. So we can introduce a complete set of their common eigenstates, namely $|k, q>$ representation [36, 37]

$$|k, q >= \sqrt{\frac{l}{2\pi}} e^{-i\tau_1 \hat{y_2}^2/2\tau_2} \sum_j e^{ijkl} |q + jl >, \tag{19}$$

where the ket on the right is a $\hat{y_1}$ eigenstate. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1|k, q >= \quad & e^{-ilk}|k, q >, \qquad U_2|k, q >= e^{il\tau_2 q}|k, q >= e^{2\pi i q A/l}|k, q >, \\ id &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^l dq |k, q >< k, q|. & (20) \end{aligned}$$

It also satisfies

$$|k, q >= |k + \frac{2\pi}{l}, q >= e^{ilk}|k, q + l > . \tag{21}$$

Consider the equation (9), namely the standard expansion of operators on T^2 we have

$$\Psi_{st}(u_1^A, u_2^A)|k, q >= \Psi_{st}(e^{-ilk}, e^{-2\pi i q A/l})|k, q >\equiv \psi_{st}(k, q)|k, q >, \tag{22}$$

where ψ_{st} is a function of the independent variables k and q, called the eigen value function of $\Psi_{st}(u_1^A, u_2^A)$. From (22), we see that the function ψ_{st} is invariant when $q \to q + l/A$,

$$\psi_{st}(k, q + \frac{ln}{A}) = \psi_{st}(k, q). \tag{23}$$

As long as the eigen value function is obtained, the operator on the noncommutative torus can be completely determined. Introducing new basis $|k, q_0; n >\equiv |k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >, k \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{l}), q_0 \in [0, \frac{l}{A})$, we have from (20)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{A-1} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} dq_0 |k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >< k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A}| = id, \tag{24}$$

$$u_1|k,q>=|k,q+\frac{l}{A}>, \tag{25}$$

$$u_2|k,q>=e^{-2\pi i\frac{q}{l}}|k,q>. \tag{26}$$

From the above equation and (21), we see that when any power of the operators u_1 and u_2 act on the $|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>$, the result can be expanded in the basis $|k,q_0+\frac{ln'}{A}>$ with the same k,q_0. So the operators on the noncommutative torus have the same property, namely don't change k and q_0. Thus, for every k and q_0 we get a $A\times A$ matrix, called reduced matrix for the operator, as well as the projector:

$$P_{T^2}|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>=\sum_{n'}M(k,q_0)_{n'n}|k,q_0+\frac{ln'}{A}>, \tag{27}$$

It is easy to find that the sufficient and necessary condition for $P^2=P$ is [30]

$$M(k,q_0)^2=M(k,q_0). \tag{28}$$

When T^2 satisfies Z_N symmetry, since after R_N rotation U_i' can be expressed by monomial of $\{U_i\}$ and their inverses, the state vector $R_N|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>$ is still the common eigenstate of the operators U_1 and U_2. With the completeness of $\{|k,q+\frac{ls}{A}>\}$ and the A-fold degeneracy eigenvalues of U_i in the kq representation, the state can be expanded in the basis $\{|k',q'+\frac{ls'}{A}>\}$

$$R_N|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>=\sum_{n'}A(k,q_0)_{n'n}|k,q_0+\frac{ln'}{A}> \tag{29}$$

[1]where $k'\in[0,2\pi/l), q'\in[0,l/A)$ are definite and

$$R_N^{-1}|k',q_0'+\frac{ln'}{A}>=\sum_{n"}A^{-1}(k,q_0)_{n"n'}|k',q_0'+\frac{ln"}{A}>. \tag{30}$$

We can get the expression for the relation between k',q_0' and k, q_0, The mapping $W:(k,q_0)\longrightarrow(k',q_0'), W^N=id$, is essentially a linear relation, and area-preserving. By this fact and since R_N is unitary, we conclude that the matrix A is a unitary matrix, that is to say

$$A^*(k,q_0)_{nn'}=A^{-1}(k,q_0)_{n'n}. \tag{31}$$

The projector on the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N satisfies $R_N^{-1}PR_N=P$, then from (27)(29)(30) one obtains

$$R_N^{-1}PR_N|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>=\sum_{n}^{'}[A^{-1}(k,q_0)M(k',q_0')A(k,q_0)]_{n'n}|k,q_0+\frac{ln^{'}}{A}>, \tag{32}$$

which should be equal to :

$$P|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>=\sum_{n"}M(k,q_0)_{n"n}|k,q_0+\frac{ln"}{A}>. \tag{33}$$

So, we have

$$M(k',q_0')=A(k,q_0)M(k,q_0)A^{-1}(k,q_0) \tag{34}$$

[1]It is necessary to point out that the matrix A defined here is the transposed matrix of A defined in Formula (93) in paper [30].

and the sufficient and necessary condition for the projector on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N to satisfy is:

$$\begin{aligned} M(k,q_0)^2 &= M(k,q_0), \quad (35)\\ M(k',q_0') &= A(k,q_0)M(k,q_0)A^{-1}(k,q_0). \quad (36)\end{aligned}$$

Next we will study the relation between coefficient function $\psi_{st}(k,q)$ and the reduced matrix $M(k,q_0)$. From (23)(25)(26) and (27)we have

$$\begin{aligned} P|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}> &= \sum_{s,t} u_1^s u_2^t \Psi_{st}(u_1^A,u_2^A)|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>\\ &= \sum_{s,t} e^{-2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}\psi_{st}(k,q_0)|k,q_0+\frac{l(n+s)}{A}>\\ &= \sum_{n'} M(k,q_0)_{n'n}|k,q_0+\frac{ln'}{A}>. \quad (37)\end{aligned}$$

So for $n+s<A$ case, we have

$$M(k,q_0)_{n+s,n} = \sum_{t=0}^{A-1} e^{-2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}\psi_{st}(k,q_0) \quad (38)$$

and for $n+s\geq A$ case, we have

$$M(k,q_0)_{n+s-A,n} = \sum_{t=0}^{A-1} e^{-2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}\psi_{st}(k,q_0)e^{-ilk}. \quad (39)$$

Setting

$$M(k,q_0)_{n+s,n} = M(k,q_0)_{n+s-A,n}e^{ilk}, \quad (40)$$

We can uniformly write as:

$$M(k,q_0)_{n+s,n} = \sum_{t=0}^{A-1} e^{-2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}\psi_{st}(k,q_0) \quad (41)$$

and have

$$\psi_{st}(k,q_0) = \frac{1}{A}\sum_{n=0}^{A-1} M(k,q_0)_{n+s,n}e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}. \quad (42)$$

Eq.(41) and (42) is the relation between ψ_{st} and the elements of reduced matrix M.

4 Continuous solution for the Projector with Minimal Trace

Now one may ask what property the reduced matrix M possess when the coefficient function ψ_{st} is a continuous function. In this section, we mainly answer this question. First we prove the $A\times A$ matrix satisfying the condition $M^2=M$ is always diagonalizable. For any vector ψ, $M\psi$ is invariant under M, namely

$$M(M\psi) = M\psi. \quad (43)$$

Assume there are totally B linear independent invariant vectors under transformation M, then
(1) for $A=B$ case, the matrix M is identity of the space expanded by the vectors, namely $A\times A$ unit

matrix. Of course it is diagonal.
(2) for $B < A$ case, considering any vector a and setting $b = Ma - a$, we find $Mb = 0$. Namely any vector a can be expressed as linear combination of invariant vector $c = Ma$ and null vector b under action of M. So the whole linear space is composed of certain invariant vectors and null vectors under action of M. M can be diagonalized in the representation with these vectors as basis. So we have:

$$M(k, q_0) = S^{-1}(k, q_0)\overline{M}(k, q_0)S(k, q_0), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\overline{M}(k, q_0) = diag(1, 1, \cdots, 1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0). \tag{45}$$

Due to (41), when $\psi_{st}(k, q_0)$ is continuous, $M(k, q_0)$ is also continuous. However $trM(k, q_0) = tr\overline{M}(k, q_0) = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, A$, which is discrete, so when ψ_{st} is continuous, the value of $trM(k, q_0) = A\psi_{00}(k, q_0)$ is invariant for all k and q_0. The trace of the projector is the zero order term of $\psi_{00}(k, q_0)$ in Laurant expression of e^{-ilk} and $e^{-2\pi iqA/l}$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} trP &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} dq \frac{A}{2\pi}\psi_{00}(k, q_0) \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} dq \frac{1}{2\pi} trM(k, q_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{A} trM(k, q_0). \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The projector is trivial for $trM(k, q_0) = 0, A$, indicating $P = 0$ and *identity*. The nontrivial $trP = \frac{1}{A}, \frac{2}{A}, \cdots, \frac{A-1}{A}$. In this paper, we only study the nontrivial projector with minimal trace($trM(k, q_0) = 1$). Thus

$$M(k, q_0) = s^{-1}(k, q_0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} s(k, q_0), \tag{47}$$

$$M(k, q_0)_{nn'} = s^{-1}(k, q_0)_{n0} s(k, q_0)_{0n'} \equiv a(k, q_0)_n b(k, q_0)_{n'}. \tag{48}$$

Explicit calculation about R_N acting on $|k, q; n >$ shows that we can divide the complete area $\Sigma : \{k \in [0, 2\pi/l), q_0 \in [0, l/A)\}$ into N subarea $\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_{N-1}$,making $W : \sigma_i \to \sigma_{i+1}, (i = 0, 1, \cdots, N-2), \sigma_{N-1} \to \sigma_0$. If we construct a reduced matrix $M(k, q_0)$ to satisfy (48) in the area σ_0, then the projector corresponding to continuous ψ_{st} with minimal trace is completely determined. In area σ_0, set

$$a_n =< k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 >, \qquad b_n =< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >, \tag{49}$$

where

$$\sum_n a_n b_n = trM(k, q_0) = 1. \tag{50}$$

In the other areas σ_j with $(k, q_0) \to (k_j, q_{0j})$ by mapping W^j, we demand

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(k_j, q_{0j}) &= < k_j, q_{0j} + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 > \\ &= A^j(k, q_0)_{nn'} a_{n'}(k, q_0), \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_n(k_j, q_{0j}) &= < \phi_2|k_j, q_{0j} + \frac{ln}{A} > \\ &= b_{n'}(k, q_0) A^{-j}(k, q_0)_{n'n}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

We thus have all coefficients of $|\phi_1>, <\phi_2|$ in $\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_{N-1}$. Owing to the completeness of $|k, q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>$ in the area Σ, $|\phi_1>$ and$<\phi_2|$ can be determined by the coefficient (49) of $|\phi_1>$ and$<\phi_2|$. Meanwhile, in the area σ_j, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(k_j, q_{0j})_{nn'} &= a_n(k_j, q_{0j}) b_{n'}(k_j, q_{0j}) \\ &= [A^j(k, q_0) M(k, q_0) A^{-j}(k, q_0)]_{nn'}. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The matrix $M(k, q_0)$ really satisfies the equation (34). Consider the state vector

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1> &= \int dk dq_0 \sum_n |k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >< k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} |\phi_1> \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{\sigma_j} dk_j dq_{0j} \sum_n |k_j, q_{0j} + \frac{ln}{A} > a_n(k_j, q_{0j}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_{\sigma_j} dk dq_0 \sum_{nn_1} |k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} > A^j_{nn_1(k,q_0)} a_{n_1}(k, q_0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R_N^j \int_{\sigma_0} dk dq_0 \sum_n |k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} > a_n(k, q_0). \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Thus we have

$$R_N |\phi_1 >= |\phi_{1>}. \tag{55}$$

In the same way, we get

$$< \phi_2 | R_N =< \phi_2|. \tag{56}$$

That is to say that the state vectors $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ are invariant under the rotation R_N.

More generally, we can take any state vectors $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ satisfying

$$R_N |\phi_1 >= e^{i\alpha_1} |\phi_1 >, \qquad < \phi_2 | R_N^{-1} = e^{-i\alpha_2} < \phi_2| \tag{57}$$

to construct a projection operator on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N. Let $M(k, q_0)$ be given by (48) with

$$a_n(k, q_0) = \frac{< k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} |\phi_1 >}{\sqrt{\sum_{n'} < k, q_0 + \frac{ln'}{A} |\phi_1 >< \phi_2 | k, q_0 + \frac{ln'}{A} >}}, \tag{58}$$

$$b_n(k, q_0) = \frac{< \phi_2 | k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >}{\sqrt{\sum_{n'} < k, q_0 + \frac{ln'}{A} |\phi_1 >< \phi_2 | k, q_0 + \frac{ln'}{A} >}}. \tag{59}$$

The projector of minimal trace and with continuous coefficient functions is surely of this form. It can be verified that $M^2 = M$. And it is also covariant under R_N. From (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & < \phi_2 | k^{'}, q_0^{'} + \frac{n^{'} l}{A} > \\ = & < \phi_2 | R_N \sum_{n^{"}} A^{-1}(k, q_0)_{n^{"} n'} | k, q_0 + \frac{n^{"} l}{A} > \\ = & e^{i\alpha_2} \sum_{n^{"}} A^{-1}(k, q_0)_{n^{"} n'} < \phi_2 | k, q_0 + \frac{n^{"} l}{A} > \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$< k^{'}, q_0^{'} + \frac{n^{'}l}{A}|\phi_1 >= e^{-i\alpha_1} \sum_{n^{"}} < k, q_0 + \frac{n^{"}l}{A}|\phi_1 > A(k, q_0)_{n^{'}n^{"}},$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n < k^{'}, q_0^{'} + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k^{'}, q_0^{'} + \frac{ln}{A} > \\ = & \sum_n < k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} > e^{-i(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Thus

$$M(k', q_0')_{nn'} = a_n(k', q_0')b_{n'}(k', q_0') = [AMA^{-1}](k, q_0)_{nn'}, \tag{61}$$

P is invariant under rotation R_N due to (36) and really gives the projection operator on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N. The form of (58) is a generalization of GHS construction.[2]. From the above result, we have

$$M(k, q_0)_{nn'} = \frac{< k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln'}{A} >}{\sum_{n^{"}} < k, q_0 + \frac{ln^{"}}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln^{"}}{A} >}. \tag{62}$$

Noticing that this equation satisfies (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{st}(k, q_0) &= \frac{1}{A}\sum_{n=0}^{A-1} M(k, q_0)_{n+s,n} e^{2\pi i(q_0/l + n/A)t} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{A}\sum_{n=0}^{A-1} < k, q_0 + \frac{l(n+s)}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} > e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}}{\sum_n < k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} >} \\ &= \frac{F_{st}(k, q_0)}{AF_{00}(k, q_0)}, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$F_{st}(k, q_0) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} < k, q_0 + \frac{l(n+s)}{A}|\phi_1 >< \phi_2|k, q_0 + \frac{ln}{A} > e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}, \tag{64}$$

with

$$F_{st}(k, q_0) = F_{st}(k, q_0 + l/A) = F_{st}(k + 2\pi/l, q_0), \tag{65}$$

$$F_{st}(k, q_0) = F_{s+A,t}(k, q_0)e^{-ilk} \tag{66}$$

$$= F_{s,t+A}(k, q_0)e^{-2\pi i q_0 A/l}. \tag{67}$$

So the function F_{st} is the function of independent variables $X = e^{-ilk}$ and $Y = e^{-2\pi i q_0 A/l}$, namely $F_{st}(k, q_0) = \Phi_{st}(X, Y)$. Similarly

$$\psi_{st}(k, q_0) = \Psi_{st}(X, Y) = \frac{\Phi_{st}(X, Y)}{A\Phi_{00}(X, Y)}. \tag{68}$$

If we change the variable X and Y into u_1^A and u_2^A respectively, the standard form (9) of the projection operator can be easily obtained. So the key question is to find out $F_{st}(k, q_0)$.

[2]The condition $P^\dagger = P$ isn't satisfied by P like this, which might represent the solitons in a "complex" field.

5 Coherent State Representation

Introduce coherent states

$$|z>=e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}}e^{a^+z}|0>,$$

where $z=x+iy, \bar{z}=x-iy$, which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d^2z|z><z|\equiv\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dxdy|z><z|=identity, \tag{69}$$

$$R_N|z>=|\omega_N z>. \tag{70}$$

We can show [30]

$$<k,q|z>=\frac{1}{\sqrt{l}\pi^{1/4}}\theta(\frac{q+\frac{\tau}{\tau_2}k-i\sqrt{2}z}{l},\frac{\tau}{A})e^{-\frac{\tau}{2i\tau_2}k^2+ikq+\sqrt{2}kz-(z^2+z\bar{z})/2}, \tag{71}$$

where

$$\theta(z,\tau)\equiv\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}\right](z,\tau)$$

and

$$\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}a\\b\end{array}\right](z,\tau)=\sum_m e^{\pi i\tau(m+a)^2}e^{2\pi i(m+a)(z+b)}. \tag{72}$$

Thus we can expand the state vectors $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ in terms of coherent state,

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_1> &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dxdy|z><z|\phi_1> \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dxdyf_1(z)|z>, \qquad (73)\\ <\phi_2| &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dxdy<\phi_2|z><z| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dxdyf_2(z)<z|. \qquad (74)\end{aligned}$$

The condition (57) is satisfied if and only if

$$f_1(\omega_N^{-1}z)=f_1(z)e^{i\alpha_1}, \tag{75}$$

$$f_2(\omega_N^{-1}z)=f_2(z)e^{-i\alpha_2}. \tag{76}$$

Here $\omega_N=e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}F_{st}(k,q_0) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\sum_{n=0}^{A-1}\int<k,q_0+\frac{l(n+s)}{A}|z_1>f_1(z_1)dx_1dy_1 \\ &\quad\times\int<z_2|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>f_2(z_2)dx_2dy_2\times e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int dx_1dy_1dx_2dy_2g_{st}(k,q_0,z_1,z_2)f_1(z_1)f_2(z_2), \qquad (77)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_{st}(k,q_0,z_1,z_2)=\sum_{n=0}^{A-1}<k,q_0+\frac{l(n+s)}{A}|z_1><z_2|k,q_0+\frac{ln}{A}>e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+n/A)t}. \tag{78}$$

We call the kernel g as generating function in coherent state representation. Next, we study the expression of g for Z_4 case. Through g we can give the integration expression for all the projection operators on the T^2/Z_4 with minimal trace and continuous eigen value function. Consider the equation

$$\theta(z,\tau)^*=\theta(z^*,-\tau^*). \tag{79}$$

For the z_4 case, $\tau=i, A=\frac{l^2}{2\pi}$, from (71)(73) and (74) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \quad k,q+\frac{ls}{A}|z_1><z_2|k,q+\frac{ls'}{A}> \\
&= \quad \frac{1}{l\sqrt{\pi}}\theta(\frac{q}{l}+\frac{i}{l}k+\frac{s}{A}-\frac{i\sqrt{2}z_1}{l},\frac{i}{A})\theta(\frac{q}{l}-\frac{i}{l}k+\frac{s'}{A}+\frac{i\sqrt{2}z_2^*}{l},\frac{i}{A}) \\
&\quad \times e^{-k^2+\frac{k}{2}(z_1+z_2^*)+ik\frac{l(s-s')}{A}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z_1^2+(z_2^*)^2+z_1z_1^*+z_2z_2^*)}\equiv K_{ss'}.
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Let $u=\frac{lk}{2\pi}, v=\frac{q}{l}, \mu=-i\frac{\sqrt{2}A}{l}z_1, \nu=i\frac{\sqrt{2}A}{l}z_2^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{ss'} \quad &= \quad \frac{C_1}{l\sqrt{\pi}}\theta(v+\frac{iu}{A}+\frac{s+\mu}{A},\frac{i}{A})\theta(v-\frac{iu}{A}+\frac{s'+\nu}{A},\frac{i}{A}) \\
&\quad \times e^{\pi i\frac{2i}{A}u^2+2\pi i(\frac{s+\mu-s'-\nu}{A})u}.
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

where

$$C_1=e^{2\pi i[\frac{-i}{4A}(\mu^2+\nu^2)+\frac{i}{4A}(|\mu|^2+|\nu|^2)]}. \tag{82}$$

It can be proved that for integer A:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r=0}^{A-1}e^{2\pi irt/A}\theta(x+r/A,\tau/A)\theta(y+r/A,\tau/A) \\
= \quad &A\sum_{d=0,1}\theta(-\frac{\tau}{A}(Ad-t)+x-y,\frac{2\tau}{A})\theta(\frac{\tau}{A}(-At+A^2d)+A(x+y),2\tau A) \\
&\times e^{\pi i\frac{\tau}{A}(Ad-t)^2}\times e^{2\pi i(Ad-t)y}
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

and

$$\theta(z,\tau)=\sqrt{\frac{i}{\tau}}e^{-\pi iz^2/\tau}\theta(\pm\frac{z}{\tau},-\frac{1}{\tau}). \tag{84}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{st}(u,v) \quad &\equiv \quad g_{st}(k,q,z_1,z_2) \\
&= \quad e^{2\pi ivt}\sum_r e^{2\pi irt/A}K_{s+r,r}(u,v) \\
&= \quad \frac{AC_1}{l\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\frac{A}{2}}\sum_{d=0,1}e^{-\frac{\pi}{2A}(Ad-t)^2+2\pi i(Ad-t)\frac{\nu}{A}-\frac{\pi}{2A}(s+\mu-\nu)^2} \\
&\quad \times e^{\frac{\pi i}{A}(s+\mu-\nu)(Ad-t)+2\pi ivAd}\theta(u-\frac{1}{2}(Ad-t)+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad \times\theta(2Av+s+\mu+\nu-t\tau+iAd,2Ai).
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Due to

$$\sum_{a=0,1}\theta(x+\frac{a}{2},\tau) = 2\theta(2x,4\tau), \tag{86}$$

$$\sum_{a=0,1}(-1)^a\theta(x+\frac{a}{2},\tau) = 2e^{2\pi i(x+\frac{\tau}{2})}\theta(2x+2\tau,4\tau), \tag{87}$$

when d is equal to $0,1$,

$$\theta(2x+2\tau d,4\tau)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a=0,1}(-1)^{ad}e^{2\pi i(x+\frac{\tau}{2})d}\theta(x+\frac{a}{2},\tau). \tag{88}$$

The function $G_{st}(u,v)$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{st}(u,v) &= \frac{A}{4\pi}e^{2\pi i\phi}\sum_{a,d=0,1}(-1)^{ad}\theta(-u+\frac{1}{2}(Ad+t)+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\ &\quad\times\theta(-Av+\frac{1}{2}(s+a-\mu-\nu)+\frac{i}{2}t,\frac{Ai}{2}), \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

where

$$\phi=\frac{i}{4A}(s^2+t^2)-\frac{st}{2A}+\frac{i}{2A}s(\mu-\nu)-\frac{t}{2A}(\mu+\nu)+\frac{i}{4A}(|\mu|^2+|\nu|^2-2\mu\nu) \tag{90}$$

From the above discussion, we know that when A is an even number, only $a=0$ contributes, so we have

$$G_{st}(u,v)=\frac{A}{2\pi}e^{2\pi i\phi}\theta(-u+\frac{1}{2}t+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\theta(-Av+\frac{1}{2}(s-\mu-\nu)+\frac{i}{2}t,\frac{Ai}{2})$$

and when A is an odd number,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{st}(u,v) &= \frac{A}{4\pi}e^{2\pi i\phi}\sum_{a,d=0,1}(-1)^{ad}\theta(-u+\frac{1}{2}(Ad+t)+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\ &\quad\times\theta(-Av+\frac{1}{2}(Aa+s-\mu-\nu)+\frac{i}{2}t,\frac{Ai}{2}). \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

They can be uniformly written as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{st}(u,v) &= \frac{A}{4\pi}e^{2\pi i\phi}\sum_{a,d=0,1}(-1)^{ad}\theta(-u+\frac{1}{2}(Ad+t)+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\ &\quad\times\theta(-Av+\frac{1}{2}(Aa+s-\mu-\nu)+\frac{i}{2}t,\frac{Ai}{2}), \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

which is Z_4 covariant. So we have from (63)(77) and (85),

$$\psi_{st}(k,q)=\frac{1}{A}\{\frac{\int d^2\mu d^2\nu G_{st}(u,v)f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)}{\int d^2\mu d^2\nu G_{00}(u,v)f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)}\} \tag{93}$$

where functions f_i should satisfy

$$f_i(\omega_N\xi)=e^{i\alpha_i}f_i(\xi). \tag{94}$$

Let $\hat{u}=\frac{l}{2\pi}\hat{y}_2$ and $A\hat{v}=\frac{l}{2\pi}\hat{y}_1$, we may replace u,v by $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$ in (93) and get

$$\Psi_{st}(u_1^A,u_2^A)=\frac{1}{A}\frac{\int d^2\mu d^2\nu G_{st}(\frac{l}{2\pi}\hat{y}_2,\frac{l}{2A\pi}\hat{y}_1)f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)}{\int d^2\mu d^2\nu G_{00}(\frac{l}{2\pi}\hat{y}_2,\frac{l}{2A\pi}\hat{y}_1)f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)}. \tag{95}$$

The operators u_1 and u_2 commute with the operators u_1^A and u_2^A,and from (7)

$$u_1^s = e^{-2\pi i\frac{s}{A}\hat{u}}, \tag{96}$$
$$u_2^t = e^{-2\pi it\hat{v}}. \tag{97}$$

Further takeing u_1^s and u_2^t into account, we can insert them to the corresponding operator form of Eq.(89). This leads to the function of $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{v}$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{ad} &\equiv u_1^s u_2^t G_{st}(\hat{u},\hat{v}) \\
&= \frac{A}{4\pi}\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}e^{2\pi i\phi}e^{-2\pi i\frac{s}{A}\hat{u}}\theta(-\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2}(Ad+t)+\frac{i}{2}(s+\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times e^{-2\pi i\hat{v}t}\theta(-A\hat{v}+\frac{1}{2}(Aa+s-\mu-\nu)+\frac{i}{2}t,\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&= \frac{A}{4\pi}\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}e^{2\pi i(-\frac{3st}{2A}+\frac{i}{4A}(|\mu|^2+|\nu|^2-2\mu\nu))}e^{-2\pi i(\frac{sd}{2}+\frac{ta}{2})} \\
&\quad\times\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{array}\right](-\hat{u}+\frac{1}{2}Ad+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t}{A}\\ \frac{s}{2}\end{array}\right](-A\hat{v}+\frac{1}{2}Aa-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Due to $|\omega_N\mu|=|\mu|, |\omega_N\nu|=|\nu|$, $e^{2\pi i\frac{i}{4A}(|\mu|^2+|\nu|^2)}$ in the above formula can be attributed to $f_1(\mu)$ and $f_2(\nu)$. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{s,t}u_1^s u_2^t\Psi_{st}(u_1^A,u_2^A) \\
&= \sum_{s,t}e^{2\pi i(-\frac{3st}{2A})}\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}e^{-2\pi i(\frac{sd}{2}+\frac{ta}{2})} \\
&\quad\times\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Ad+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t}{A}\\ \frac{s}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Aa-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times\{A\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Ad+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Aa-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\}^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

In the above equation, the two θ functions can not exchange orders with each other. It holds for any integer number A. In the following, we present some discussion.
(1) A is an even number, so $\frac{Ad}{2}$ and $\frac{Aa}{2}$ are integers too. Due to (72) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{s,t}e^{-3\pi i\frac{st}{A}}\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}} \\
&\quad\times\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t}{A}\\ \frac{s}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times\{A\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\quad\times\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\}^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

the above equation is the generalization of the Boca's formula Proposition 3.1(i) [35].
(2) A is an odd number

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{s,t=0}^{A-1} e^{-3\pi i\frac{st}{A}}\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}} \\
&\times\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}e^{-2\pi i(\frac{sd}{2}+\frac{ta}{2})}\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{Ad}{2}+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\times\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t}{A}\\ \frac{s}{2}\end{array}\right](-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{Aa}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\times\{A\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{Ad}{2}+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\
&\times\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{Aa}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu),\frac{Ai}{2})\}^{-1}. \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{array}\right](x+\frac{Ad}{2},\tau) \\
&= \theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s}{2A}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2x,4\tau)(-1)^{sd}e^{2\pi i\frac{st}{2A}}+\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{s+A}{2A}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2x,4\tau)(-1)^{(s+A)d}e^{2\pi i\frac{(s+A)t}{2A}} \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

the numerator of P can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\sum_{s,t=0}^{A-1}\{\bar{\theta}_0\theta_0++\bar{\theta}_1\theta_0(-1)^s+\bar{\theta}_0\theta_1(-1)^t+\bar{\theta}_1\theta_1(-1)^{s+t-1}\} \\
&= 2\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\sum_{s,t=0}^{2A-1}e^{\frac{\pi i}{A}st}\bar{\theta}_0\theta_0 \qquad (103)
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\bar{\theta}_\delta=\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t+A\delta}{2A}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2y,4\tau),\theta_\delta=\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{t+A\delta}{2A}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2x,4\tau),\delta=0,1$ with $x=-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{i}{2}(\mu-\nu)$ and $y=-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu)$. The denominator of P is $2A\int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu)f_2(\nu)e^{\pi i\frac{\mu\nu}{A}}\sum_{\delta_1,\delta_2=0}^{1}e^{\pi i\delta_1\delta_2}\bar{\theta}'_{\delta_1}\theta'_{\delta_2}$, here $\theta'_\delta=\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{\delta}{2}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2x,4\tau)$, $\bar{\theta}'_\delta=\theta\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{\delta}{2}\\ 0\end{array}\right](2y,4\tau)$. This formula gives another result compared with the Boca's when $f_1(z)=f_2(z)=\delta^2(z-0)$.

Finally, we will give another explicit form of P in terms of the derivative of elliptic functions. Note that the basis $\{|n>\}$ of Fock space produce a phase ω^n under action of R_N. It is not difficult to find that $e^{i\alpha_1}$ and $e^{i\alpha_2}$ in (57) are both integral powers of ω_N because of $(R_N)^N=identity$. Therefore $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ can respectively be expanded in the basis $\{|n>\}$ and $\{<n|\}$, where

$$|n>=\frac{(a^+)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0>. \qquad (104)$$

We have the relation between coherent state and particle number eigenstate as following:

$$<z|n>=e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}}\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}. \qquad (105)$$

Obviously, the general forms of $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ in the expansion in terms of particle number eigenstates are $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m\ |i+4m>$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n <j+4n|$, where i and j are nonnegative integers and c_m and d_n are arbitrary constant coefficients. So

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_1> &= \sum_m c_m |i+4n> \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dxdy c_m |z><z|i+4m>, \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

$$\begin{aligned} <\phi_2| &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m <j+4m| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dxdy d_n <j+4n|z><z|. \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

We let R_N act on $|\phi_1>$ and $<\phi_2|$ and get

$$R_N|\phi_1>=\omega^i|\phi_1>, \tag{108}$$

$$<\phi_2|R_N^{-1}=<\phi_2|\omega^{-j}. \tag{109}$$

Subsequently, we substitute (105)(106)(107) into (64)and make use of the formula

$$<k,q|n>=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\frac{d^n}{dz^n}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}}<k,q|z>\right)\|_{z=0} \tag{110}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{st}(k,q_0) &= \sum_{m,n}\sum_{h=0}^{A-1} c_m d_n <k,q_0+\frac{l(h+s)}{A}|i+4m>\times<j+4n|k,q_0+\frac{lh}{A}>\times e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+h/A)t} \\ &= \sum_{m,n}\sum_{h=0}^{A-1} c_m d_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(i+4m)!(j+4n)!}}\frac{d^{n+m}}{dz_1^m \bar{z}_2^n}(e^{\frac{1}{2}(z_1\bar{z}_1+z_2\bar{z}_2)}\sum_{h=0}^{A-1}<k,q_0+\frac{l(h+s)}{A}|z_1> \\ &\quad \times <z_2|k,q_0+\frac{lh}{A}>\times e^{2\pi i(q_0/l+h/A)t})\|_{z_1=\bar{z}_2=0} \\ &= \sum_{m,n}\sum_{h=0}^{A-1} c_m d_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(i+4m)!(j+4n)!}}\frac{d^{n+m}}{dz_1^m \bar{z}_2^n}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2}(z_1\bar{z}_1+z_2\bar{z}_2)}g_{st}(k,q_0,z_1,z_2)\right)\|_{z_1=\bar{z}_2=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{111}$$

So, we get the projector in the case of z_4

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \sum_{m,n} c_m d_n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(i+4m)!(j+4n)!}}\frac{d^{n+m}}{dz_1^m \bar{z}_2^n}(e^{z_1\bar{z}_1+z_2\bar{z}_2}\times e^{2\pi i(-\frac{3st}{2A})}\times e^{4\pi^2 i z_1\bar{z}_2}\times e^{-2\pi i(\frac{sd}{2}+\frac{ta}{2})} \\ &\quad \times\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}\theta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{s}{A}\\ \frac{t}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Ad+\frac{\sqrt{2}A}{2l}(z_1+\bar{z}_2),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\ &\quad \times\theta\begin{bmatrix}\frac{t}{A}\\ \frac{s}{2}\end{bmatrix}(-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Aa+\frac{i\sqrt{2}A}{2l}(z_1-\bar{z}_2),\frac{Ai}{2}))\|_{z_1=\bar{z}_2=0} \\ &\quad \times\{A\sum_{m,n}\sum_{a,d=0}^{1}(-1)^{ad}c_m d_n\frac{d^{n+m}}{dz_1^m\bar{z}_2^n}(e^{z_1\bar{z}_1+z_2\bar{z}_2}\times e^{4\pi^2 i z_1\bar{z}_2}\times\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_2}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Ad+\frac{\sqrt{2}A}{2l}(z_1+\bar{z}_2),\frac{Ai}{2}) \\ &\quad \times\theta(-\frac{l\hat{y}_1}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2}Aa+\frac{i\sqrt{2}A}{2l}(z_1-\bar{z}_2),\frac{Ai}{2}))\}^{-1}\|_{z_1=\bar{z}_2=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

Thus, We derive two forms of explicit expressions of the projector P in terms of the integration and derivative of the classical theta functions.

6 Discussion

In this paper, P is represented by a form of fraction which make sense only when the denominator has inverse. The formula demands:

$$D = A \int d^2\mu d^2\nu f_1(\mu) f_2(\nu) G_{00}(u, v) \tag{113}$$

is unequal to zero for any real variables u and v. It is easy to prove that when f_1 is equal to f_2^*, the related denominator

$$D = A \sum_n < k, q + \frac{ln}{A} | \phi >< \phi | k, q + \frac{ln}{A} >= A \sum_n | < k, q + \frac{ln}{A} | \phi > |^2. \tag{114}$$

Thus if $D = 0$,then

$$< k, q + \frac{ln}{A} | \phi >= 0 \qquad n = 0, 1, \cdots, A - 1. \tag{115}$$

The zero points of the state vector $|\phi >$ in $|k, q >$ representation should be points equally spaced along q with interval of $\frac{l}{A}$. The mapping from k and q to $< k, q + \frac{ln}{A} | \phi > \in C$ is a mapping from plane to plane. In general, $< k, q + \frac{ln}{A} | \phi >= 0$ are some discrete points, and thus it is casual that D is equal to zero. So in this sense, for most of $f_1 = f_2^*$, this still not happen (in some sense, the measure of $D = 0$ event is zero.) Specially, when the state $|\phi_1 >= |\phi_2 >= |0 >$, It can be proved [35] that D is not equal to zero everywhere. Thus set

$$|\phi_1 >= |0 > + \epsilon |\psi_1 >, \qquad < \phi_2 | =< 0 | + \epsilon < \psi_2 | \tag{116}$$

D is also not equal to zero everywhere for small enough ϵ. But we don't know the situation for general $f_1 \neq f_2^*$.

References

[1] A. Connes, Non-commutative Geometry, Academic Press, 1994.

[2] G. Landi," An introduction to non-commutative space and their geometry", hep-th/9701078; J. Varilly, "An introduction to non-commutative Geometry", physics/9709045.

[3] J. Madore, "An introduction to non-commutative Differential Geometry and its physical Applications", Cambridge University press 2nd edition, 1999.

[4] A. Connes, M. Douglas, A. Schwartz, Matrix theory compactification on Tori, JHEP 9802 (1998) 003, hep-th/9711162; M. dougals, C. Hull, JHEP 9802 (1998) 008, hep-th/9711165.

[5] Nathan. Seiberg and Edward. Witten," String theory and non-commutative geometry", JHEP 9909 (1999) 032, hep-th/9908142; V. Schomerus," D-branes and Deformation Quantization", JHEP 9906 (1999) 030.

[6] E. Witten, "Noncommutative Geometry and String Field Theory", Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 253.

[7] S. S. Gubser, M. Rangamani, "D-brane Dynamics and the Quantum Hall Effect', JHEP 0105 (2001) 041, hep-th/0012155.

[8] Alexios P. Polychronakos, "Quantum Hall states as matrix Chern-Simons theory", JHEP 0104 (2001) 011, hep-th/0103013.

[9] S. Hellerman, M. V. Raamsdonk, "Quantum Hall Physics equals Noncommutative Field Theory", JHEP 0110 (2001) 039, hep-th/0103179.

[10] A. P. Polychronakos, "Quantum Hall states on the cylinder as unitary matrix Chern-Simons theory", JHEP 0106 (2001) 070, hep-th/0106011.

[11] B. Morariu, A. P. Polychronakos, Finite Noncommutative Chern-Simons with a Wilson Line and the Quantum Hall Effect", JHEP 0107 (2001) 006, hep-th/0106072.

[12] L. Susskind, "The Quantum Hall Fluid and Non-Commutative Chern Simons Theory", hep-th/0101029.

[13] M. Fabinger, "Higher-Dimensional Quantum Hall Effect in String Theory", JHEP 0205 (2002) 037, hep-th/0201016.

[14] J. Hu, S.C. Zhang, "Collective excitations at the boundary of a 4D quantum Hall droplet", cond-mat/0112432.

[15] D. Karabali, V.P. Nair, "Quantum Hall Effect in Higher Dimensions", Nucl.Phys. B641 (2002) 533-546, hep-th/0203264.

[16] Y.X Chen, B.Y. Hou, B.Y. Hou, "Non-commutative geometry of 4-dimensional quantum Hall droplet", Nucl.Phys. B638 (2002) 220-242, hep-th/0203095.

[17] B. Freivogel, L. Susskind, N. Toumbas, "A Two Fluid Description of the Quantum Hall Soliton", hep-th/0108076.

[18] S. Hellerman, L. Susskind, "Realizing the Quantum Hall System in String Theory", hep-th/0107200.

[19] A. Matusis, L. Susskind, N. Toumbas, "The IR/UV Connection in the Non-Commutative Gauge Theories", JHEP 0012 (2000) 002, hep-th/0002075.

[20] S. Minwalla, M. V. Raamsdonk, N. Seiberg, "Noncommutative Perturbative Dynamics", JHEP 0002 (2000) 020, hep-th/9912072.

[21] B.A.Bernevig, J. Brodie, L. Susskind, "How Bob Laughlin Tamed the Giant Graviton from Taub-NUT space N.Toumbas", JHEP 0102 (2001) 003, hep-th/0010105.

[22] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, " Noncommutative Soliton", JHEP005 (2000) 048, hep-th/0003160.

[23] A. P. Polychronakos, "Flux tube solutions in noncommutative gauge theories", Phys.Lett. B495 (2000) 407-412, hep-th/0007043.

[24] R. Gopakumar, M. Headrick, M. Spradin, "on Noncommutative Multi-solitons", hep-th/0103256.

[25] J. Harvey, " Komaba Lectures on Noncommutative Solitons and D-branes, hep-th/0102076; J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus and F.Larsen, JHEP 0012 (200) 024, hep-th/0010060; M. Hamanaka and S. Terashima, "On exact noncommutative BPS solitons", JHEP **0103** (2001) 034, hep-th/0010221.

[26] D. J. Gross and N. A. Nekrasov, " Solitons in noncommutative Gauge Theory", hep-th/0010090; M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative Field Theory", hep-th/0106048.

[27] E. J. Martinec and G. Moore, "Noncommutative Solitons on Orbifolds", hep-th/0101199.

[28] B. Y. Hou, D.T. Peng, K.J. Shi, R.H. Yue,"Solitons on Noncommutative Torus as Elliptic Calogero Gaudin Models ,Branes and Laughlin wave function ", hep-th/0204163.

[29] B. Y. H, D.T. Peng, "Elliptic Algebra and Integrable Models for Solitons on Noncummutative Torus", Int.J.Mod.Phys. B16 (2002) 2079-2088 .

[30] B.Y. Hou, K. J. Shi, Z. Y. Yang, "Solitons on Noncommutative Orbifold T^2/Z_N", Lett. Math. Phys61 (2002) 205-220, hep-th/0204102.

[31] G.Derrick, "Comments on Nonlinear Wave Equtions as Models for Elementary Particles", J.Math.Phys 5,1252(1965),S.Coleman, "Aspects of Symmetry",Chapters 6 and 7.

[32] A.Sen, "Tachyon condensation on the brane antibrane system", JHEP 08,012(1998), hep-th/9805170.

[33] A.Sen, "Tachyon condensation in string theory",JHEP 0003,(2000) 0002, hep-th/9912249.

[34] M. Rieffel, Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981) 415.

[35] F. P. Boca, Comm. Math. Phys. 202 (1999) 325.

[36] H.Bacry, A.Grassman and J. Zak, Phys.Rev B12(1975) 1112.

[37] J. Zak, In solid State Physics, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic,new York,1972), Vol. 27.